arXiv:1610.06302v1 [physics.ed-ph] 20 Oct 2016

Under-Graduate Research in Physics : An Indian Perspective

Sushan Konar, NCRA-TIFR, Pune, India
email : sushan@ncra.tifr.res.in

21st October 2016

Abstract

It is now widely believed that research should be an essential and integral part of under-graduate studies. In recent years there has been a conscious effort to bring research opportunities to the physics under-graduates in India. We argue that the need for the hour is a methodical evaluation of the existing under-graduate research programs for their effectiveness in preparing the students for a career in physics.

Introduction

Following the Boyer Commission Report (1998) and subsequent recommendations by a number of academic bodies, the higher education policy in the US underwent a paradigm shift by recognising research as an essential and integral part of the Under-Graduate (UG) education. A number of investigations have already demonstrated the range of personal, professional and intellectual benefits that STEM (Science, Technology, Engineering & Mathematics) students gain from participating in Under-Graduate Research (UGR) (1).

Of late, we have observed a similar paradigm shift in the Indian context too. An UG student had little access to research just a couple of decades ago. However, as the benefits of UGR become apparent, in terms of students' learning retention, graduation rates and entrance into graduate programs (2; 3), there has been a change in the higher-education policy supplemented by generous grants from funding agencies. This has been hailed enthusiastically, both by practising scientists as well as the student community, particularly because UGR is increasingly being considered as a critical qualification for graduate admissions abroad as well as in India.

Recent studies also indicate that the growth of physics as a field and the retention of students desirous of being physicists is the lowest among all STEM subjects (4). It appears that the development of a professional identity is important for student retention and UGR can play a major role in this direction. An UGR is where a student realises for the first time that the classroom setting provides answers while the research experience focuses on asking as well as answering questions. This ultimately helps UG students to 'become scientists' through the growth and development of their professional identities (5). Therefore scholarly studies, defining and measuring the metric against which the UGR experience can be evaluated, is of great importance in the context of physics research in India. This essay argues for a need of such studies by considering the situation of the physics UGR opportunities that are currently available in the country.

UGR (Physics) in India

There are basically four types of institutions (leaving defence laboratories aside) where physics research is conducted in India. They could be classified as follows -

1. the traditional universities with a number of affiliated colleges (Bombay, Calcutta, Delhi, Madras, Pune etc.);
2. the stand-alone autonomous universities (Cotton College (Guwahati), Jadavpur (Kolkata), JNU (Delhi), Visva-Bharati (Shantiniketan) etc.);



Figure 1: Types of physics UGR that are currently being offered in India.

3. the specialised STEM-only universities (IISc (Bangalore), the IITs, the IISERs, BITS etc.); and
4. the elite research institutes (HRI (Allahabad), IMSc (Chennai), PRL(Ahmedabad), RRI (Bangalore), TIFR (Mumbai), the IUCs etc.).

While the emphasis is on UG teaching (UGT) in the first three categories, the primary activity is pure research for the fourth. However, with the introduction of Integrated PhD programs, a number of research institutes (like HRI, IMSc, TIFR etc.) are also engaging in UGT now. Even though the prevalence of UGR is a recent phenomenon, the understanding of the importance of a research component in the UG curriculum and a conscious effort to incorporate such an element in the Indian system has existed for quite some time. Initially, the efforts were restricted only to a few universities (mostly IITs) but now students from almost all academic institutions can access research opportunities in one form or the other. Interestingly, these UGR opportunities belong to a few distinctive types (see Fig.1) which can be broadly classified under the following two categories - *curricular* and *extra-curricular*.

Curricular UGR

A curricular UGR project is a piece of research undertaken to fulfil the requirements of the university syllabus where a student is enrolled for her/his UG (bachelors/masters) degree. One type of curricular research that is becoming increasingly popular is the very short-term *course project* - forming a part of a standard course. Usually such a project is undertaken in lieu of the end-term examination and may end up being nothing more than a longish take-home examination. However, depending on the situation such a project could also involve reading a research article, understanding it and perhaps taking some of the calculations a little forward. Though these typically do not produce any serious research output, they offer the students a quick look at the methodology of research. On the other end of spectrum of curricular research is the *semester-long project*, where the duration could even span an entire year. Depending on the university, a student is either required to work with a professor in the home institution (sometimes continuing to do other course-work alongside); or is given the option to work with a scientist from another academic institute. Because of the longish duration, a student usually gets sufficient time to pick up some background and/or acquire certain necessary skills (computational/experimental/instrumental) before starting the actual work.

The single-most important feature of these curricular projects is that a degree of sincerity and seriousness is automatically ensured, because the research performance gets reflected in a student's graduating grade which plays a decisive role in the subsequent placement of the student. Consequently, good amount of research work come out of these. Oftentimes, an important part of a larger investigation (theoretical/experimental/observational) is successfully set-up by an UG student irrespective of whether or not it ends up being written up as a full-fledged publication. At GMRT (the Giant Meterwave Radio Telescope, maintained by NCRA-TIFR, Pune) a good amount of engineering and software support has, over the years, been generated through such research projects (see, for example (6)). Overall, the impact on and output from these projects on UG learning appear to be quite positive.

Most of the 'stand-alone' and 'STEM-only' universities have now incorporated such research projects (both short & long-term) into their UG programs. However, traditional universities are yet to adopt these measures and the research component is still mostly absent from their curricula.

Extra-curricular UGR

In contrast, an extra-curricular research is something a student embarks upon of his own volition. The motivation for such an undertaking has a wide range - from a genuine desire for research, to securing an early admission to a prestigious graduate program, or perhaps to simply affect an improvement to the bio-data. These could be *formal*, structured by particular academic institutes or by umbrella organisations (like the Indian Science Academies). By far, the best-known and likely the oldest running program in this category, is the 'Visiting Students Research Program' (*VSRP*) run by TIFR (similar programs are now being offered by many other research institutes). Applicants from across the country are filtered through a stiff selection criterion. Upon being selected a student works on a research project, typically lasting for 8-10 weeks, under close supervision of a faculty member. The highlight of a such a program is that at the end of their stay the students are evaluated for their suitability to join the research program of that institute upon completing their respective UG degrees.

A new addition to the formal, extra-curricular research is something we term as an *agency mediated* one. The NIUS (National Initiative on Undergraduate Science) program run by HBCSE-TIFR or the SRFP (Summer Research Fellowship Program) jointly sponsored by the three science academies (Indian Academy of Sciences, Indian National Science Academy & The National Academy of Sciences) are examples of this type. Once again students are selected from a huge applicant pool. Afterwards they are assigned to faculty mentors working in different academic institutes across the country. The mediating agency basically pairs up the aspiring UG student with an appropriate mentor and fund the entire activity. Though these allow immense structural freedom (the student and the supervisor can choose a convenient time-frame or the number of visits the student makes to the host institute and so on) conducive to serious research, the programs may not always achieve it. One of the reasons could be certain shortcomings of the selection procedure. With a completely uneven grading system of our universities, the probability of selecting students with inadequate background training or motivation is quite high when the selection depends mainly on their university grades. In contrast, the probability of making similar mistakes is much smaller in similar programs run by individual institutes where the number of selected applicants is usually quite modest. Moreover, a fraction of students also consider these programs simply as opportunities for improving the bio-data. In such cases, non-performance is not an issue unless and until the student requires a reference letter from the faculty-mentor.

In fact, this last point brings us to the emergent trend of *informal* research projects. All of the UGR that have been discussed so far are 'structured' programs, conducted by academic institutions. However, the need for an impressive bio-data and a set of reference letter writers are now compelling students to informally contact potential mentors looking for research opportunities. To be sure, a fraction of these requests arise out of genuine motivation. Sometimes, a student who have earlier worked with a particular mentor (through another form of UGR) returns to complete the work, resulting in a publication (for example, see (7)). Research institutes usually provide infrastructural support and funding for these if a faculty member agrees to mentor a student. These projects can range from a few weeks to even a year depending upon the situation. Excellent pieces of research work have come out of such projects. However, because many of these project requests are initiated by students who have been unable to secure a place in one of the above-mentioned formal programs, it appears that a number of disturbing issues have become associated with this particular form of UGR.

Unfortunately, the requests for informal projects has reached such proportions that scientists working both within India and abroad are getting completely overwhelmed by the huge volume of unsolicited and mostly irrelevant emails. It is learnt that in many universities the student communities have developed computer scripts by which they have automated the process of selecting potential mentors (by trawling through internet repositories of scholarly articles etc.). These requests should really be considered as 'spam's which hope to find at least one gullible person somewhere and are driven by the sheer desperation of students. To be honest, this craze is also nurtured, in part, by individuals or groups that require a large 'non-expert' workforce to help conduct big experiments, or analyse huge volumes of data, or run monstrous simulations.

Concerns

Easy access to UGR opportunities for a large UG community is a recent phenomenon in India. Evidently, there are quite a few issues that need to be looked into. Clearly, the older and structured programs (like the VSRP) do

better than the more recent programs. However, it is not yet clear if every type of UGR is as effective as naively expected. For example, it is not uncommon to see students going through a string of short-term UGRs on totally unrelated topics and gaining neither a feel for the methodology nor any real training in a particular area of research. The unduly excessive emphasis placed on the UGR experience for admissions into graduate programs is likely the reason for this obsession, oftentimes even to the exclusion of any physics learning.

The selection of under-prepared and/or under-motivated students to a UGR program is another source of serious problem. As this is mainly associated with agency-mediated programs where the applicant pool could be enormous a better methodology need to be adopted for the selection process. Introduction of a 'tiered structure' to such programs could also be thought of, where the present structure can be followed for students properly equipped for research; and the under-prepared students could be inducted into 'summer school' like programs where they reinforce their UG learning itself. The REAP (Research Education Advancement Programme) run by the Jawaharlal Nehru Planetarium (Bangalore) is an example of such a program.

To conclude, it has to be said that even though the inputs to the present essay are mostly anecdotal there is a clear indication for a need for quantitative studies on the effect of UGR on physics research in India. Whether the resource spent (in terms of person-hours, direct funding and infrastructural support) is actually helping the indigenous research community or is simply producing students who are better equipped to ensure admission in a graduate program abroad is something we seriously need to look into.

The author is indebted to D. Narasimha for setting a gold standard for an UGR supervisor when she worked with him for a VSRP project at TIFR some three decades ago; also to Niruj Mohan Ramanujan & Tirthankar Roy Choudhury for providing her with certain valuable inputs. The author is supported by a grant (SR/WOS-A/PM-1038/2014) from the Department of Science & Technology, Government of India.

References

[1] M. Crowe and D. Brakke, *Council on Undergraduate Research Quarterly* **28**, 43 (2008).

[2] S. H. Russell and J. Hancock, M. P.and McCullogh, *Science* **316**, 548 (2007).

[3] T. Wilkerson, *The relationship between admissions credentials and the success of students admitted to a physics doctoral program*, Ph.D. thesis, University of Central Florida (2007).

[4] P. W. Irving and E. C. Sayre, *Physical Review Special Topics Physics Education* **11**, 2, 020120 (2015). 1409.8315.

[5] A.-B. Hunter, S. L. Laursen and E. Seymour, *Science Education* **91**, 36 (2007).

[6] K. De and Y. Gupta, *Experimental Astronomy* **41**, 67 (2016). 1509.00186.

[7] S. Konar and S. Das, *Pramana* **62**, 1241 (2004). arXiv:hep-ph/0209259.